

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

THE NOCO COMPANY )  
30339 DIAMOND PARKWAY, #102 )  
GLENWILLOW, OHIO 44139 ) CASE NO:  
 )  
 Plaintiff, ) JUDGE:  
 v. )  
 )  
 JOHN DOE )  
 D/B/A AMAZINGUSDEALS )  
 664 N. MICHIGAN AVENUE )  
 KENILWORTH, NJ 07033 )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

**COMPLAINT  
(JURY DEMAND ENDORSED HEREIN)**

---

Plaintiff The NOCO Company d/b/a NOCO (“*Plaintiff*”) by and through counsel, and for its Complaint against Defendant John Doe d/b/a Amazingusdeals (“*Defendant*”), states as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Defendant is engaged in the unauthorized sale of products distributed by, and infringing upon the intellectual property of, Plaintiff. These unauthorized sales and infringing activities are illegal, have harmed, and will continue to harm Plaintiff.

**PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff designs, manufactures, and sells battery chargers, portable power devices, and related battery products and accessories (collectively, the “*Products*”).

3. Defendant is selling the Products and using Plaintiff's proprietary intellectual property in connection with such sales without the authority or consent of Plaintiff.

**JURISDICTION/VENUE**

4. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338.

5. Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2307.382, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has caused tortious injury in the State of Ohio.

6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

**BACKGROUND**

***Plaintiff's Business***

7. Plaintiff sells the Products on its website (<https://no.co/>), to wholesalers and authorized resellers (each, a "**Reseller**" and together, "**Resellers**"), and through online stores such as EBay.

8. When Plaintiff sells to Resellers it enters into contracts with such sellers ("**Reseller Agreements**").

9. Resellers may only sell the Products pursuant to a Reseller Agreement.

10. Plaintiff uses Reseller Agreements in order to promote fair competition between Resellers and to protect its brand, its goodwill, and its valuable intellectual property, including its proprietary images, designs, and content ("**Copyrights**") and the registered trademarks, including the trademarks NOCO® and NOCO GENIUS® ("**Trademarks**").

11. The Reseller Agreements limit Resellers' use of the Copyrights and Trademarks to avoid brand dilution, tarnishment, and confusion as to the origin of the Products. An example of such an agreement is attached as Exhibit A.

12. The Reseller Agreements only permit sales of the Products to retail consumers and expressly prohibit bulk sales or sales to wholesalers. *See* Exh. A.

13. The Reseller Agreements also specify that any warranties associated with the Products are only valid when sold by Resellers. *Id.*

**Defendant Begins Selling the Products Without Authorization**

14. At least since September 25, 2018, Plaintiff has been aware, and has so notified Defendant, that Defendant was selling the Products and using Plaintiff's Copyrights and Trademarks without authorization and without Plaintiff's consent.

15. Defendant sells the Products online on www.eBay.com using the eBay Storefront amazingusdeals, and possibly other websites. An example of Defendant's sales is attached as Exhibit B.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendant purchases the Products from Resellers.

17. Upon information and belief, the Resellers from which Defendant purchases the Products have Reseller Agreements with Plaintiff.

18. Upon information and belief, Defendant induces Resellers to sell the Products to Defendant in bulk for the purpose of resale.

19. Upon information and belief, as of the date of this filing, Defendant continues to violate Plaintiff's contractual and intellectual property rights.

**Plaintiff Demands Defendant Cease Selling the Products**

20. On October 29, 2018, undersigned counsel sent a letter informing Defendant that it was, *inter alia*, selling the Products without authorization and that such sales violated Plaintiff's rights in the Copyrights and the Trademarks (the "Letter"). The Letter is attached as Exhibit C.

21. The Letter also put Defendant on notice of Plaintiff's claims, and requested that Defendant cease such conduct immediately.

22. As of the date of this filing, Defendant has failed to cease selling the Products and continues to violate Plaintiff's rights.

**Count One**  
**Declaratory Judgment/Injunctive Relief**

23. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as if fully restated herein.

24. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendant related to whether Defendant has the right to sell the Products without Plaintiff's consent and in violation of Plaintiff's rights in the Copyrights and Trademarks.

25. The Court, pursuant to O.R.C. § 2721.03 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 57, should declare that Defendant has no right or authorization to sell the Products, use the Trademarks, or violate the Copyrights as these actions have harmed and continue to harm Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.

26. Such a declaration is proper, pursuant to O.R.C. §§ 2721.03 and 2721.06, because it would "terminate the controversy between the parties" and "remove an uncertainty" with respect to this issue.

27. Additionally, the Court should enjoin Defendant from any further sales of the Products, use of the Trademarks, and violation of the Copyrights.

**Count Two**  
**Unfair Competition**

28. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as if fully restated herein.

29. Defendant's actions, including, but not limited to, its unauthorized sale of the Products, its unauthorized use of the Trademarks, and its unauthorized use of Plaintiff's Copyrights constitute unfair competition.

30. Defendant's actions have damaged Plaintiff in the form of, *inter alia*, lost sales, interference with prospective business relationships, trademark infringement, trademark dilution, tarnishment, and copyright infringement.

31. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**Count Three**  
**Tortious Interference with Contract**

32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as if fully restated herein.

33. The Reseller Agreements are valid binding contracts between Plaintiff and each Reseller.

34. At least since receiving the Letter, Defendant has been aware of these contracts and the prohibition against bulk sales to unauthorized resellers.

35. Despite this knowledge, Defendant induced such Reseller to sell the Products to Defendant in breach of the Reseller Agreement.

36. Defendant improperly interfered with Plaintiff's contractual relationships with its Resellers.

37. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's interference, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**Count Four**  
**Trademark Infringement (15 U.S.C. § 1114)**

38. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as if fully restated herein.

39. Plaintiff is the owner of the Trademarks (e.g. NOCO® and NOCO GENIUS®).

40. Defendant uses the Trademarks in connection with its sale of the Products without Plaintiff's authorization or consent.

41. Defendant's use of the Trademarks is likely to cause confusion among consumers as to the source and origin of the Products.

42. Plaintiff informed Defendant that its sales of the Products infringed on Plaintiff's rights in the Trademarks.

43. Defendant's continued use of the Trademarks constitutes trademark infringement pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

44. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**Count Five**  
**Trademark Dilution (15 U.S.C. § 1125)**

45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as if fully restated herein.

46. The Trademarks are well known and distinctive within the battery and chargeable battery industry.

47. Defendant's use of the Trademarks is causing the dilution of the Trademarks by blurring and tarnishing the reputation and goodwill associated with the Trademarks in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

48. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**Count Six**  
**Ohio Deceptive Trade Practices (O.R.C. § 4165.02)**

49. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as if fully restated herein.

50. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Trademarks is likely to cause confusion as to the source of the Products in violation of O.R.C. § 4165.02(A)(2).

51. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Trademarks creates the misperception that Defendant and Plaintiff are affiliated, which violates O.R.C. § 4165.02(A)(3).

52. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**Count Seven**  
**Copyright Infringement (17 U.S.C. § 501, et seq.)**

53. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as if fully restated herein.

54. Defendant's use of the Plaintiff's Copyrights violates the Plaintiff's exclusive rights to reproduce, copy, distribute, display, and create derivative works.

55. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

A. As to Count One, a declaratory judgment stating that Defendant is unauthorized to sell the Products and permanently enjoin Defendant from any further sales of the Products;

B. As to Count Two, award compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$25,000, as well as attorney fees and costs, disgorge Defendant of its profits from all unauthorized sales of the Products, and permanently enjoin Defendant from any further sales of the Products;

C. As to Count Three, award compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$25,000, as well as attorney fees and costs, disgorge Defendant of its profits from all unauthorized sales of the Products, and permanently enjoin Defendant from any further sales of the Products;

D. As to Count Four, award compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$25,000, as well as attorney fees and costs, disgorge Defendant of its profits from all unauthorized sales of the Products, and permanently enjoin Defendant from using the Trademarks;

E. As to Count Five, award compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$25,000, as well as attorney fees and costs, disgorge Defendant of its profits from all unauthorized sales of the Products, and permanently enjoin Defendant from using the Trademarks; and

F. As to Count Six, award compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$25,000, as well as attorney fees and costs, disgorge Defendant of its profits from all unauthorized sales of the Products, and permanently enjoin Defendant from using the Trademarks.

G. As to Count Seven, award compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$25,000, as well as attorney fees and costs, disgorge Defendant of its profits from all unauthorized sales of the Products, and permanently enjoin Defendant from using the Copyrights.

Respectfully submitted,

**KOHRMAN JACKSON & KRANTZ LLP**

*/s/ Jon J. Pinney*

---

JON J. PINNEY (0072761)  
SEAN P. MALONE (0076353)  
DAVID R. POSTERARO (0024661)  
One Cleveland Center, 29th Floor  
1375 East Ninth Street  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
Phone: 216-696-8700  
Fax: 216-621-6536  
Email: jjp@kjk.com; spm@kjk.com;  
drp@kjk.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff*

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38.

*/s/ Jon J. Pinney*

---

JON J. PINNEY